AO 472	(Rev 09/08)	Order of D	etention P	ending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS - EL PASO DIVISION

'
MAY 11 2015
FOR THE WAGIS TO JUDGE JUDGE TO TEXAS
14 RR
ving facts require the detention of the

USA			§	ı	FOR THE WEST PARTY			
vs.			§ §	DETENTION ORDER PEM Case Number: EP:15-M -0090				
. ,	RIC FI fendant	LORES	§ §		191			
		e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing trial in this case.	ng has bee	n held. I conclude that the followi	ing facts require the detention of the			
		Part I - Fin	dings of Fa	et				
	(1)		efendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offen ould have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is:					
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) or an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisor an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of a felony that was committed after the defendant had been consistent of § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:  any felony this is not a crime of violence but involves:  a minor victim  the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.	nment or de f ten years o onvicted of	ath. or more is prescribed in * two or more federal offenses describ				
	(2) (3) (4)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defen A period of not more than five years has elasped since the described in finding (1).  Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has a	date of con-	viction [ ] release of the defendation or combination of conditions rea	ant from imprisonment for the offense			
		Alternate	Findings (A	<b>(</b> )				
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	more is pro	escribed in				
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by find of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	ing (1) that	no condition or combination of con-	ditions reasonably assure the appearance			
		Alternate	Findings (I	3)				
X	(1) (2)	There is serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  There is serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of a	nother pers	on or the community.				
		Part II - Written Stateme	nt of Reaso	ons for Detention				
of the	evider	that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing ence that there is no condition or combination of conditions of release to exual abuse of minor children and alegations of production of child posterior	hat will ass					
		Part III - Directions	Regarding	g <b>Detention</b>				
practic private	able, i	nt is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a design from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pend had defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of a efendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	ling app <b>∉</b> al	The defendant mustl be afforded	d a reasonable opportunity to consult			

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.  $\S$  801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C.  $\S$  951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C.  $\S$  955a).

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11th day of May, 2015 Date